

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/054,823 | 01/25/2002 | Hironori Matsumoto | 0397-0439P | 5766 | |
| 2292 | 7590 05/21/20 | 93 | | | |
| | EWART KOLASCI | EXAMINER | | | |
| PO BOX 74 FALLS CH | 7 JRCH, VA 22040-07 | 47 | GREENE, PERSHELLE L | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2826 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

lh

| _ | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | 10/054,823 | MATSUMOTO ET | MATSUMOTO ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Pershelle Greene | 2826 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6):MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 25 January 2002 | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 2a)□ | · | . — | attors prospection as to th | no morite is | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restrictio | n and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2002</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 <i>A</i> | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o | domestic priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(e) (to a provisiona | Il application). | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape | -948) 5) L Notice o | v Summary (PTO-413) PAICAL P v Summary (PTO-413) Paical P f Informal Patent Application (PT | (\$) <u>800</u> . O-152) | | |

Art Unit: 2826

Same of the first

Serial Number: 10/054823

Attorney's Docket #: 0397-0439P

Filing Date: 1/25/2002

Applicant: Matsumoto et al. Examiner: Pershelle Greene

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 5 and 6 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. ... Claim 1 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, it is unclear what is meant by "and both of the silicide layers are layers formed at the same time in self-alignment is made of a silicide layer"

Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

and the same of the

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horiuchi et al. (IEEE 1990 Bipolar Circuits and Technology Meeting).

In claim 1, Horiuchi shows, in figure 5, a Schottky barrier diode and a MOS transistor are formed on a silicon substrate. The Schottky barrier diode is made of a silicide layer. There is also another silicide layer formed on the source/drain region and a gate electrode. The limitation that both of the silicide layers are formed at the same time in self-alignment is not given any patentable weight because this is product by process language and will not change the final structure that is being claimed.

As to claim 3, the silicide layer is made of titanium silicide.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2826

4. Claim 4 is being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horiuchi et al. (IEEE 1990 Bipolar Circuits and Technology Meeting), in view of Iwata et al (U.S. Patent # 6,255,704).

As to claim 4, claim 4 is being rejected for the same reasons stated above in regard to claim 1. However, Horiuchi fails to explicitly show a silicide layer showing a C54 phase.

Iwata et al. is cited for showing a semiconductor device and method for fabricating the same. Specifically, Iwata et al. teaches, referring to column 25 lines 39-46, converting a titanium silicide layer to a C54 crystalline structure.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to convert the silicide layer of Hsieh to the C54 crystalline structure taught by Iwata et al. for the purpose of lowering the resistivity and thus raising the conductivity.

5. Claims 9-10 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horiuchi et al. (IEEE 1990 Bipolar Circuits and Technology Meeting), in view of Tuttle (U.S. Patent # 6,122,494).

As to claims 9 and 10, claims 9 and 10 are being rejected for the same reasons stated above in regard to claim 1. However, Horiuchi fails to explicitly show the device being contained in an IC card including an IC module.

Futtle is cited for showing radio frequency antenna with current controlled sensitivity.

Specifically, Tuttle is cited for disclosing, referring to column 4 lines 44-60, an IC card including and IC module.

Art Unit: 2826

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the device of Hsieh in the IC card and module of Tuttle for the purpose of the interfacing the device with other elements.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pershelle Greene whose telephone number is 703-305-3870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2826

Page 6

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PLG May 16, 2003